

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

PAMELA MCKENZIE,	)	Case No.: 1:20-cv-0327 - JLT
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	ORDER TO DEFENDANT TO SHOW CAUSE
	)	WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED
v.	)	FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE
	)	COURT'S ORDER
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

---

Pamela McKenzie seeks judicial review of a decision to denying her application for Social Security benefits. (Doc. 1) On March 10, 2020, the Court issued its Scheduling Order, setting forth the applicable deadlines. (Doc. 5)

Plaintiff served a confidential letter brief upon Defendant on December 8, 2020. (Doc. 15) Pursuant to the terms of the Scheduling Order, within thirty-five days of the date of service of the confidential letter brief, the Commissioner was to serve a response and file a proof of service. (Doc. 5 at 2) To date, the Commissioner has not filed a proof of service indicating a response was served upon Plaintiff. In addition, the Commissioner did not request an extension of time to comply with the Court's order.

The Local Rules, corresponding with Fed. R. Civ. P. 11, provide: "Failure of counsel or of a party to comply with . . . any order of the Court may be grounds for the imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions . . . within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110. "District courts have

1 inherent power to control their dockets,” and in exercising that power, a court may impose sanctions.  
2 *Thompson v. Housing Authority of Los Angeles*, 782 F.2d 829, 831 (9th Cir. 1986). A court may  
3 impose sanctions, including terminating sanctions, for a party’s failure to obey a court order or failure  
4 to comply with local rules. *See, e.g. Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992)  
5 (imposing sanctions terminating for failure to comply with an order); *Malone v. U.S. Postal Service*,  
6 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987) (imposing terminating sanctions for failure to comply with a court  
7 order); *Henderson v. Duncan*, 779 F.2d 1421, 1424 (9th Cir. 1986) (sanctions for failure to prosecute  
8 and to comply with local rules).

9 Accordingly, the Commissioner is **ORDERED** to show cause within fourteen days of the date  
10 of service of this order why the sanctions should not be imposed for failure to follow the Court’s order  
11 or to serve a response to Plaintiff’s confidential letter brief and file proof of service with the Court.

12  
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: **January 13, 2021**

**/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston**  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE